

1 STATE OF ALASKA
2 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
3 DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
4 BEFORE THE STATE MEDICAL BOARD
5

6 In the matter of:)
7)
8 Erik Peter Kohler, MD)
9)
10 Respondent)
11 Case No. 2800-08-002

12
13 ACCUSATION

14 This Accusation filed on December 21, 2010, which initiates a proceeding
15 pursuant to Alaska Statute AS 08.01.075, AS 44.62.360, AS 08.64.326, and AS 08.64.331 to
16 revoke, suspend, or impose other disciplinary sanctions against Medical License No. 5237 issued
17 to Eric Peter Kohler, MD, (Respondent) by the State of Alaska.

18 In support of this Accusation, Petitioner, Donald Habeger, Director, Division of
19 Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing, Department of Commerce, Community and
20 Economic Development, State of Alaska, alleges in his official capacity as follows:

21 1. On December 11, 2003, Respondent was issued Medical License No. 5237,
22 which will lapse unless renewed by December 31, 2010.

23 Patient 1

24 2. On August 8, 2000, Patient 1 was admitted to Stevens Hospital in the
25 State of Washington. The Respondent operated on Patient 1's back in the early morning hours
26 of August 8, 2000. Several issues have been identified after review of Patient 1's chart.

27 3. When Patient 1's chart was reviewed, no pre-operative history or exam,
28 or admission note was found. Also, when reviewing Patient 1's chart, it was found that the

1 Respondent dictated what appears to be an admission note within the operative report, not an
2 accepted practice in the practice of medicine.

3 4. In his post-operative admission note, the Respondent noted that Patient 1
4 had disc herniations. However, in his operative note dictated at the same time, the Respondent
5 noted osteophytes, only.

6 5. The Respondent did not comment in the record the findings of the post-
7 operative X-rays or MRI or CT scan – the MRI showed abnormalities.

8 6. The Respondent did not visit Patient 1 on August 12, 2000, nor did he
9 visit him on August 13th or 16th, 2000.

10 7. An intra-operative event occurred during the operation and caused
11 damage to Patient 1's spinal cord. As a result, Patient 1 awoke from the procedure with almost
12 complete quadriplegia. Prior to the operation, Patient 1 had no motor impairment.

13 8. Later, Patient 1 was admitted to the VA hospital in Washington. Patient
14 1 underwent surgery at the VA hospital to address instability caused by the Respondent's
15 operative procedure.

16 9. The Respondent did not dictate his discharge summary on Patient 1 until
17 four months after the patient was discharged.

18 10. There is no record of an assistant in the operative record or the anesthesia
19 record.

20 11. In 2009, the Alaska State Medical Board found that the Respondent
21 violated as 08.64.334 for not reporting this medical malpractice matter in the time required.

22 Patient 2

23 12. Patient 2 suffered a back injury on or about March 22, 2007.

1 13. On November 8, 2007, Patient 2 met with Respondent for an evaluation.
2 Various treatment options were discussed, including Kyphoplasty, a procedure which allows
3 medical grade cement (methyl methacrylate) to be placed into the fractured bone to help stabilize
4 the spine. A MRI was scheduled for November 27, 2007.

5 14. On December 27, 2007, Patient 2 met again with the Respondent to discuss
6 her options and decided to undergo the Kyphoplasty procedure based upon the Respondent's
7 recommendation and representations.

8 15. On January 4, 2008, the Respondent performed the Kyphoplasty procedure
9 on Patient 2.

10 16. Following the procedure, Patient 2 was transferred to the Post Anesthesia
11 Care Unit (PACU). She was found to have bilateral weakness of her legs (post operative
12 paraplegia) upon awakening from anesthesia. A CT scan performed later showed compression of
13 the spinal cord.

14 17. Patient 2 was taken back to the operating room where the Respondent
15 performed a spinal cord decompression by various methods.

16 18. Despite the second surgery, Patient 2 continued to suffer a dense left
17 paraplegia and right paraplegia, which failed to resolve while she remained in Mat-Su Regional
18 Medical Center. A post-operative CT scan revealed residual methyl methacrylate that had not
19 been removed during the second surgery.

20 19. Since the operations, Patient 2 has undergone intensive rehabilitation,
21 including physical and occupational therapy. She was discharged on February 12, 2008.

22 20. Patient 2 continues to suffer neurologic deficits. Although she has
23 undergone two subsequent surgical procedures, Patient 2 is left with permanent, irreversible

1 injuries, including neurologic deficits with lower extremity weakness, and bladder and bowel
2 dysfunction.

3 COUNT I

4 21. While performing the Kyphoplasty on Patient 2, the Respondent engaged
5 in professional incompetence, gross negligence or repeated negligent conduct in violation of as
6 08.64.326(a)(8)(A).

7 22. The Respondent's violation of as 08.64.326(a)(8)(A) warrants discipline
8 pursuant to as 08.64.331(a), which provides that "If the board finds that a licensee has
9 committed an act set out in as 08.64.326(a), the board may (1) permanently revoke a license to
10 practice; (2) suspend a license for a determinate period of time; (3) censure a licensee; (4) issue
11 a letter of reprimand; (5) place a licensee on probationary status...; (6) impose limitations or
12 conditions on the practice of the licensee; (7) impose a civil fine of not more than \$25,000; or
13 (8) impose one or more of the sanctions set out in (1) – (7) of this subsection.

14 COUNT II

15 23. While operating on Patient 2, the Respondent engaged in professional
16 incompetence, gross negligence or repeated negligent conduct in violation of as
17 08.64.326(a)(8)(A).

18 24. The Respondent's violation of as 08.64.326(a)(8)(A) warrants discipline
19 pursuant to as 08.64.331(a), which provides that "If the board finds that a licensee has
20 committed an act set out in as 08.64.326(a), the board may (1) permanently revoke a license to
21 practice; (2) suspend a license for a determinate period of time; (3) censure a licensee; (4) issue
22 a letter of reprimand; (5) place a licensee on probationary status...; (6) impose limitations or
23

1 conditions on the practice of the licensee; (7) impose a civil fine of not more than \$25,000; or
2 (8) impose one or more of the sanctions set out in (1) – (7) of this subsection.

3 COUNT III
4

5 25. Taken together, the Respondent's conduct while operating on Patient 1
6 & 2 amounts to professional incompetence, gross negligence or repeated negligent conduct in
7 violation of as 08.64.326(a)(8)(A).

8 26. The Respondent's violation of as 08.64.326(a)(8)(A) warrants discipline
9 pursuant to as 08.64.331(a), which provides that "If the board finds that a licensee has
10 committed an act set out in as 08.64.326(a), the board may (1) permanently revoke a license to
11 practice; (2) suspend a license for a determinate period of time; (3) censure a licensee; (4) issue
12 a letter of reprimand; (5) place a licensee on probationary status...; (6) impose limitations or
13 conditions on the practice of the licensee; (7) impose a civil fine of not more than \$25,000; or
14 (8) impose one or more of the sanctions set out in (1) – (7) of this subsection.

15 Wherefore, in accordance with as 08.01.075 and as 08.64.331, the Division of
16 Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing respectfully requests the Alaska State Medical
17 Board to revoke or suspend Medical License No. 5237 or impose other sanctions within its lawful
18 authority against respondent which the Alaska State Medical Board deems just and proper.

19 DATED this 21st day of December, 2010, at Anchorage, Alaska.

20 SUSAN K. BELL, COMMISSIONER
21

22 By: 
23

24 Quinten Warren, Chief Investigator for
25 Donald Habeger, Director
26 Division of Corporations, Business and
27 Professional Licensing